

CASE: 7587 0498 1

H, Z

DRS. D/B

M (CA) Caucasian

AGE: 10.7 SKEL: 12.2


X: 12/10/2003 - R: 04/26/2011

MISSING PERMANENT TEETH

R ———— | ———— L

VISUAL NORMS

RMO®



CASE: 7587 0498 1

H, Z

DRS. D/B

M (CA) Caucasian

AGE: 10.7 SKEL: 12.2


X: 12/10/2003 - R: 04/26/2011

MISSING PERMANENT TEETH

R ———— | ———— L

VISUAL NORMS

RMO®



H, Z RMO Case Number: 7587 0498 1 X-Ray date: 12/10/2003 Age: 10.7 Wrist: 12.2 Reference: C C D 1
Drs. D/B RMO Run date: 04/26/2011 Birthdate: 04/15/1993 Sex: Male 1

C C D - O R T H O D O N T I C C O N D I T I O N S

LATERAL BEFORE TREATMENT

FACTOR	MEASURED VALUE	CLINICAL NORM	CLINICAL DEVIATIONS FROM NORM	
# - Appears on tracing				
===== DENTAL RELATIONS =====				
01 Molar Relation	0.7 mm	-3.0 mm	1.2	*
03 Canine Relation	-1.1 mm	-2.0 mm	0.3	
05 Incisor Overjet	4.6 mm	2.5 mm	0.8	
07 Incisor Overbite	7.3 mm	2.5 mm	2.4	**
09 Mand Incisor Extrusion	4.6 mm	1.3 mm	1.7	*
#11 Interincisal Angle	154.8 dg	130.0 dg	4.1	***
===== DENTAL TO SKELETON =====				
#18 A6 Molar Position to PTV	10.4 mm	13.7 mm	-1.1	*
#20 B1 to A-Po Plane	-1.7 mm	1.0 mm	-1.2	*
22 A1 to A-Po Plane	3.0 mm	3.5 mm	-0.2	
#24 B1 Inclination to A-Po	11.4 dg	22.0 dg	-2.7	**
26 A1 Inclination to A-Po	13.8 dg	28.0 dg	-3.5	***
27 Occlusal Plane to Xi	3.3 mm	0.0 mm	1.1	*
28 Inclination of Occl Plane	19.9 dg	23.5 dg	-0.9	
54 B1 Inclination to FH	64.9 dg	65.0 dg	0.0	
===== ESTHETICS =====				
29 Lower Lip to Esthetic Plane	-3.9 mm	-1.4 mm	-1.2	*
30 Upper Lip Length	23.4 mm	25.3 mm	-0.9	
31 Lip Embrasure to Occl Plane	-6.2 mm	-3.0 mm	-1.6	*
58 NasoLabial Angle	116.6 dg	115.0 dg	0.3	
===== NASOPHARYNGEAL AIRWAY =====				
62 N-S-Ba	125.3 dg	129.6 dg	-0.9	
63 Ba-S-PNS	52.6 dg	63.0 dg	-4.2	***
85 Airway Percent	49.2 %	56.7 %	-0.5	
86 Linder-Aronson AD1	21.6 mm	23.1 mm	-0.3	
87 Linder-Aronson AD2	12.0 mm	18.6 mm	-1.7	*
88 Distance PTV to Adenoid	7.1 mm	10.7 mm	-0.8	

FRONTAL BEFORE TREATMENT

FACTOR	MEASURED VALUE	CLINICAL NORM	CLINICAL DEVIATIONS FROM NORM	
# - Appears on tracing				
===== DENTAL RELATIONS =====				
02 Molar Relation Left (A6-B6)	0.4 mm	1.5 mm	-0.6	
04 Molar Relation Right (A6-B6)	-0.3 mm	1.5 mm	-0.9	
#06 Intermolar Width (B6-B6)	57.5 mm	55.0 mm	1.3	*
08 Inter canine Width (B3-B3)	19.0 mm	25.3 mm	-2.1	**
#10 Denture Midline	0.2 mm	0.0 mm	0.2	
===== DENTAL TO SKELETON =====				
19 B6 to J-Ag Left	4.9 mm	7.5 mm	-1.6	*
21 B6 to J-Ag Right	4.9 mm	7.5 mm	-1.5	*
#23 Denture to Jaw Midlines	-0.4 mm	0.0 mm	-0.3	
25 Occlusal Plane Tilt	2.6 mm	0.0 mm	1.3	*

H, Z RMO Case Number: 7587 0498 1 X-Ray date: 12/10/2003 Age: 10.7 Wrist: 12.2 Reference: C C D 2
Drs. D/B RMO Run date: 04/26/2011 Birthdate: 04/15/1993 Sex: Male 1

C C D - S K E L E T A L C O N D I T I O N S

LATERAL BEFORE TREATMENT

FACTOR	MEASURED VALUE	CLINICAL NORM	CLINICAL DEVIATIONS FROM NORM	
# - Appears on tracing				
===== SKELETAL RELATIONS =====				
#13 Convexity	7.2 mm	2.0 mm	2.6	**
#15 Lower Facial Height	45.5 dg	45.0 dg	0.1	
84 Present Patient Height	59.0 in	56.6 in		
91 Posterior face height	73.2 mm			
92 Anterior face height	121.7 mm			
93 Posterior/Anterior ratio	60.1 %			
94 Saddle Angle	118.7 dg	123.0 dg	-1.4	*
96 Condylion-A point	85.0 mm	87.9 mm	-0.9	
97 Condylion-Gnathion	105.3 mm	109.4 mm	-0.9	
95 Max-Mand Differential	20.3 mm	21.2 mm	-0.3	
98 Menton-ANS	68.7 mm	64.5 mm	1.6	*

JAW TO CRANIUM

#32 Facial Depth	84.9 dg	87.2 dg	-0.7	
#34 Facial Axis	79.4 dg	90.0 dg	-3.0	***
#36 Maxillary Depth	91.6 dg	90.0 dg	0.5	
37 Maxillary Height	60.4 dg	53.8 dg	2.2	**
38 Palatal Plane to FH	-0.9 dg	1.0 dg	-0.6	
#39 Mandibular Plane to FH	28.8 dg	25.5 dg	0.7	
77 Ba-N-A	58.9 dg	63.0 dg	-1.4	*
76 S-N-A	80.0 dg	82.0 dg	-0.6	
78 S-N-B	72.8 dg	80.0 dg	-2.0	**
69 A-N-B Difference	7.2 dg	2.0 dg	1.9	*
75 Total Facial Height	66.1 dg	60.0 dg	2.0	**

INTERNAL STRUCTURE

40 Cranial Deflection	33.3 dg	27.0 dg	2.1	**
42 Cranial Length Anterior	59.5 mm	58.5 mm	0.4	
44 Ramus Height (CF-Go)	60.1 mm	58.9 mm	0.4	
46 Ramus Xi Position	71.9 dg	76.0 dg	-1.4	*
48 Porion Location (Por to PTV)	-39.5 mm	-40.7 mm	0.5	
#50 Mandibular Arc	32.5 dg	27.0 dg	1.4	*
51 Corpus Length	64.8 mm	69.6 mm	-1.8	*

FRONTAL BEFORE TREATMENT

FACTOR	MEASURED VALUE	CLINICAL NORM	CLINICAL DEVIATIONS FROM NORM	
# - Appears on tracing				
===== SKELETAL RELATIONS =====				
#14 Max-Mand Width Left	-12.2 mm	-11.3 mm	-0.6	
#16 Max-Mand Width Right	-14.4 mm	-11.3 mm	-2.1	**
17 Max-Mand Midline	-3.6 dg	0.0 dg	-1.8	*

JAW TO CRANIUM

33 Postural Symmetry	-0.3 dg	0.0 dg	-0.1	
#45 Maxillary Width (J-J)	57.4 mm	63.1 mm	-2.9	**
#47 Mandibular Width (AG-GA)	78.2 mm	79.0 mm	-0.4	

INTERNAL STRUCTURE

#41 Nasal Width	24.4 mm	26.2 mm	-0.9	
43 Nasal Height	50.4 mm	46.6 mm	1.3	*
49 Facial Width	116.3 mm	120.7 mm	-2.2	**

H, Z RMO Case Number: 7587 0498 1 X-Ray date: 12/10/2003 Age: 10.7 Wrist: 12.2 Reference: I N F O
Drs. D/B RMO Run date: 04/26/2011 Birthdate: 04/15/1993 Sex: Male 1

P A T I E N T I N F O R M A T I O N

S I G N I F I C A N T C O N S I D E R A T I O N S


===== NAME =====

CONDITION CCD FACTORS

Patient name: H, Z
Doctor name: D/B
Age: 10.7
X-Ray date: 12/10/2003
RMO Case Number:
RMO Run date: 04/26/2011

===== HORIZONTAL =====
Class II malocclusion 1
due to the lower molar 18
Severe Skeletal Class II 13
due to the mandible & maxilla 32,36,46,50,51

===== MISSING PERMANENT TEETH =====



===== VERTICAL =====

Severe Deep Bite 7

===== HEIGHT PREDICTION =====

Wrist film age: 12.2
Current height: 59.0 inches
Amount of adult growth achieved: 81.5 %
Expected adult height: 72.4 inches

===== TRANSVERSE =====

Skel Lingual Crossbite pattern 14,16,45,47
due to the maxilla 45,47
Md arch wide compared to jaw 19,21,47

===== AIRWAY ANALYSIS =====

If the patient is clinically diagnosed
as a mouthbreather, observed mouthbreathing
is probably not caused by adenoid blockage
of the airway.

===== SYMMETRY =====

Positional Max-Mand asymmetry 17

===== BOLTON ANALYSIS =====

Bolton 6 ratio: 0.749
Bolton 12 ratio: 0.911

===== SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS =====

===== COMMENTS =====

Skeletal age: 12.17 years

CASE: 7587 0498 1

H, Z

DRS. D/B

M (CA) Caucasian

AGE: 10.7 SKEL: 12.2

X: 12/10/2003 - R: 04/26/2011


MISSING PERMANENT TEETH

R ————— L

TRACING


RMO®

BEFORE TREATMENT




SHORTAGE 11.1 MM
LEEWAY 5.8 MM

MEASURED VALUE/NORM



R L



SHORTAGE 12.4 MM
LEEWAY 7.0 MM

SIGNIFICANT CONSIDERATIONS

CONDITION
Class II malocclusion
Severe Skeletal Class II
Severe Deep Bite
Adenoid blockage of the airway?

REASON
due to the lower molar
due to the mandible & maxilla

Probably not

FACIAL PATTERN: MILD VERTICAL

# FACTORS	MEASURED VALUE	NORM	CLINICAL DEVIATION
Interincisal Angle	154.8 dg	130.0 dg	4.1 ***
Convexity	7.2 mm	2.0 mm	2.6 **
Lower Facial Height	45.5 dg	45.0 dg	0.1
A6 Molar Position to PTV	10.4 mm	13.7 mm	-1.1 *
B1 to A-Po Plane	-1.7 mm	1.0 mm	-1.2 *
B1 Inclination to A-Po	11.4 dg	22.0 dg	-2.7 **
Facial Depth	84.9 dg	87.2 dg	-0.7
Facial Axis	79.4 dg	90.0 dg	-3.0 ***
Maxillary Depth	91.6 dg	90.0 dg	0.5
Mandibular Plane to FH	28.8 dg	25.5 dg	0.7
Mandibular Arc	32.5 dg	27.0 dg	1.4 *

CASE: 7587 0498 1

H, Z

DRS. D/B

M (CA) Caucasian

AGE: 10.7 SKEL: 12.2

X: 12/10/2003 - R: 04/26/2011


MISSING PERMANENT TEETH

R ————— L

TRACING

RMO®

BEFORE TREATMENT



MEASURED VALUE/NORM

SIGNIFICANT CONSIDERATIONS			
CONDITION Skel Lingual Crossbite pattern Md arch wide compared to jaw Positional Max-Mand asymmetry		REASON due to the maxilla	
FACIAL PATTERN: MILD VERTICAL			
# FACTORS	MEASURED VALUE	NORM	CLINICAL DEVIATION
Intermolar Width (B6-B6)	57.5 mm	55.0 mm	1.3 *
Denture Midline	0.2 mm	0.0 mm	0.2
Max-Mand Width Left	-12.2 mm	-11.3 mm	-0.6
Max-Mand Width Right	-14.4 mm	-11.3 mm	-2.1 **
Denture to Jaw Midlines	-0.4 mm	0.0 mm	-0.3
Nasal Width	24.4 mm	26.2 mm	-0.9
Maxillary Width (J-J)	57.4 mm	63.1 mm	-2.9 **
Mandibular Width (AG-GA)	78.2 mm	79.0 mm	-0.4

H, Z RMO Case Number: 7587 0498 1 X-Ray date: 12/10/2003 Age: 10.7 Wrist: 12.2 Reference: G T N
Drs. D/B RMO Run date: 04/26/2011 Birthdate: 04/15/1993 Sex: Male 1

===== G U I D E T O A L T E R N A T I V E T R E A T M E N T P L A N N I N G =====

Facial Pattern	-0.7 C.D.	Probability of Lower Third Molar (based on space available)		
Vertical Description	MILD VERTICAL	Impaction	Eruption	Eruption
Auxiliary Appliances			Questionable	Functional
Headgear	HIGH PULL			
	HEAVY FORCES	65.%	33.%	2.%
Activator	NOT INDICATED			
Palate Separation	INDICATED			
Convexity Objective	Reduce 3.7 mm			

Lower Arch Length Discrepancy (original arch) 5.4 mm Shortage
Including useable leeway (E) space

* * * L O W E R A R C H * * *	Required Tooth Movement	Effect on Arch Length	Resulting Discrepancy

Lower Incisor to Ideal	Lt: 1.8 mm Fwd. Rt: 2.0 mm Fwd.	4.6 mm Increase	0.8 mm Shortage
Buccal Expansion to Ideal Arch Form		0.0 mm Increase	0.8 mm Shortage
Incisors & Convexity to Cephalometric Limit	1.3 mm Fwd.	2.6 mm Increase	1.8 mm Excess
Lower Molar Distal Movement	0.0 mm	0.0 mm Increase	Not Req'd

* * * U P P E R A R C H * * *

Movement of First Molar (non-ext.) Required for Class I	2.2 mm Distal
Resulting Expected Space for 2nd & 3rd Molars at Maturity (non-ext.)	14.6 mm
Required Space for 2nd Molars	9.0 mm to 11.0 mm
Required Space for 2nd & 3rd Molars	18.0 mm to 22.0 mm

*** Indicated Treatment using Dr's Personalized Decision Program ***

Upper Arch	NON-EXTRACTION
Lower Arch	NON-EXTRACTION
Lower Incisor	Forward 2.1 mm
Buccal Expansion	Gain 0.0 mm
Lower Molar Movement	Backward 0.0 mm

Teeth Sizes:	L6	L5	L4	L3	L2	L1	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	TOTAL	SUM OF INCISOR	NORM
Upper Arch :	11.4	7.2	7.5	8.5	8.6	10.7	10.7	8.6	8.5	7.5	7.2	11.6	107.9	38.6 MM	N/A
Lower Arch :	12.4	8.1	7.9	7.7	6.7	6.4	6.4	6.7	7.7	7.9	8.1	12.3	98.3	26.2 MM	22.8

H, Z RMO Case Number: 7587 0498 1 X-Ray date: 12/10/2003 Age: 10.7 Wrist: 12.2 Reference: W R N
Drs. D/B RMO Run date: 04/26/2011 Birthdate: 04/15/1993 Sex: Male 1

W O R K U P

===== ORIGINAL CONDITION =====

Facial pattern: -0.7 CD - Mild Vertical
Lower arch form: Normal

Missing permanent teeth: R -----+----- L
|
|

Lower arch length discrepancy (ALD) 12.4 mm SHORTAGE
Leeway space 7.1 mm ---
Maximum use of leeway space 7.0 mm
Total arch length discrepancy 5.4 mm SHORTAGE

===== COMPUTER DECISION =====
based on Drs. Doyle/Baker's individualized standards

UPPER ARCH: NON-EXTRACTION

Convexity change REDUCE 3.7 mm

LOWER ARCH: NON-EXTRACTION

Lower incisor FORWARD 2.1 mm

Buccal Expansion NONE

Lower molar STATIONARY

Extracted teeth
|
R -----+----- L
|

===== AUXILIARY APPLIANCES =====

Activator: NOT INDICATED
Palate separation: INDICATED
Headgear: HIGH PULL
HEAVY FORCES

===== POST TREATMENT =====

Pentamorphic arch form: Normal
Arch length relapse: 0.5 mm

Lower third molar probabilities
(based on space available)

Impaction: 65 %
Marginal: 33 %
Functional: 2 %

R A T I O N A L E

===== REASONS FOR LOWER ARCH DECISION =====

Mandibular Arch Length Analysis

1. Initial Conditions
A. Original Arch Length 12.4 mm shortage
B. Useable Leeway Space 7.0 mm
C. Total Initial Discrepancy(A+B) 5.4 mm shortage

2. Maximum Permissible Arch Length
Increase(Within Doctor Limits)
Due To:
D. Lower Incisor Repositioning 7.2 mm increase
E. Buccal Expansion 0.0 mm
F. Lower Molar Distal Movement 0.0 mm
G. Total Possible Increase (D+E+F) 7.2 mm increase

3. Resultant Arch Length Discrepancy 1.8 mm excess
Considering All Possible Arch
Length Increases (C+G)

4. Resultant Computer Decision Non-Extraction

5. Work-Up Presented Is NON-EXTRACTION

===== REASONS FOR UPPER ARCH DECISION =====

1. Convexity change 3.7 mm reduce

2. Upper incisor tip movement for 2.7 mm forward
overbite/overjet ideal to lower

3. First molar movement required 1.7 mm backward

4. First molar movement clinical limit 4.6 mm backward

5. Work-Up Presented Is NON-EXTRACTION

===== COMMENTS =====

Skeletal age: 12.17 years

H, Z
7587 0498 1

M 10.7 / 12.2

SEQUENCES WORKSHEET
PHASE I TREATMENT

04/26/2011
NON-EXTRACTION

RMO®

UPPER ARCH				LOWER ARCH			
ACTIVITY	DESCRIPTION	MONTHS	NOTES	ACTIVITY	DESCRIPTION	MONTHS	NOTES
	PALATAL EXPANSION	0			INTRUDE 1	0	
		1				1	
		0				0	
	ADVANCE INCISORS	2				2	
	INTRUDE 1	0				0	
		3				3	
		0				0	
		4				4	
		0				0	
		5			ADVANCE INCISORS	5	
		0				0	
		6				6	
		0				0	
		7				7	
		0				0	
		8				8	
		0				0	
		9				9	
		1				1	
		0				0	
	CORRECT CONVEXITY	1				1	
	DISTALIZE 6	1				1	
		1				1	
		2				2	
		1				1	
		3				3	
		1				1	
		4				4	
		1				1	
		5				5	
		1				1	
		6				6	
		1				1	
		7				7	
		1				1	
		8				8	
		1				1	
		9				9	
		2				2	
		0				0	
		2				2	
		1				1	
		2				2	
		2				2	
		2				2	
		3				3	
		2				2	
		2				2	
		4				4	
		2				2	
		5				5	
		2				2	
		6				6	
		2				2	
		7				7	
		2				2	
		8				8	
		2				2	
		9				9	
		3				3	
		0				0	
		0				0	

H, Z
7587 0498 1

M 10.7 / 12.2

SEQUENCES WORKSHEET
PHASE II TREATMENT

04/26/2011
NON-EXTRACTION

RMO®

UPPER ARCH				LOWER ARCH			
ACTIVITY	DESCRIPTION	MONTHS	NOTES	ACTIVITY	DESCRIPTION	MONTHS	NOTES
	RETRACT 4 AND/OR 5	0			ALIGN/LEVEL BUCCAL SEGS	0	
		1				1	
		2				2	
		3				3	
		4				4	
		5				5	
		6				6	
		7				7	
		8				8	
		9				9	
	ALIGN/LEVEL BUCCAL SEGS	10			IDEAL ARCH	10	
		11				11	
		12				12	
		13				13	
		14				14	
		15				15	
		16				16	
		17				17	
		18				18	
		19				19	
	FINAL ARCH	20			FINAL ARCH	20	
		21				21	
		22				22	
		23				23	
		24				24	


7587 0498 1
H , Z
M 10.7 / 12.2

LONG RANGE GROWTH FORECAST COMPARISON RMO®


NON-EXTRACTION

04/26/2011

WITHOUT TREATMENT



WITH TREATMENT



LOWER THIRD MOLAR PROBABILITIES (BASED ON SPACE AVAILABLE)

IMPACTION: 49 %
MARGINAL: 45 %
FUNCTIONAL: 6 %

CURRENT HEIGHT: 59.0 IN
EXPECTED MATURE HEIGHT: 72.4 IN

LOWER THIRD MOLAR PROBABILITIES (BASED ON SPACE AVAILABLE)

IMPACTION: 65 %
MARGINAL: 33 %
FUNCTIONAL: 2 %


ARCH LENGTH DECREASE POST TX
0.5 MM TOTAL

7587 0498 1
H, Z
M 10.7 / 12.2

NON-EXTRACTION TREATMENT DESIGN

RMO®


04/26/2011

EXTRACT R 



MAXILLARY CHANGE

CHANGE IN MAXILLARY TEETH

PT. A MOVEMENT BACKWARD 3.7 MM





UPPER MOLAR CHANGE



CHANGE IN MANDIBULAR TEETH

LOWER INCISOR FWD(LT) 2.1 MM
LOWER MOLAR FWD 0.0 MM


MANDIBULAR GROWTH



WORKUP PRESENTED

Upper arch: NON-EXTRACTION
Lower arch: NON-EXTRACTION

MISSING PERMANENT TEETH



COMMENTS

Skeletal age: 12.17 years

— ORIGINAL
— GROWTH W/O TREATMENT
— TREATMENT OBJECTIVE

April 26, 2011

RMODS Case #: 7587 0498 1

Non-extraction

Patient: H, Z

Letter: (3)

Dear DRS. D/B:

After considering the patients facial pattern and projecting the expected reaction to treatment, the treatment design shows extensive incisor intrusion to be required.

Please review the treatment design and determine, in your opinion, if conventional treatment methods would be adequate.

Some less conventional approaches often considered as an alternative to our enclosed workup include:

- 1) the use of bite opening mechanics
- 2) a functional appliance phase of treatment
- 3) treating the case to a deeper than normal overbite

While there may be many approaches to such cases, we feel that it is our task to alert you that the movements called for were out of the ordinary limits.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you. If you have any questions, please contact one of our technical representatives.

April 26, 2011

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. This order is subject to acceptance by RMO, Inc. Diagnostic Services (“RMO”). Upon acceptance, this order becomes a binding contract on the terms and conditions set forth herein, which shall be governed by the laws of the State of Colorado. The Doctor/Buyer hereby submits to the jurisdiction of the courts of the State of Colorado to resolve any dispute related to this contract.
2. Exclusion of Warranties:
The following statements are applicable to the products or services provided:
 - a) Tracing of the cephalometric head films is subject to personal interpretation and is dependant upon the clarity of the head film furnished by the Doctor/Buyer;
 - b) Growth forecasts are statements of expectation. Individuals may differ greatly from forecasted values;
 - c) Cephalometrics is a tool which does not constitute a complete diagnosis; and
 - d) The materials and information provided by RMO constitute an aid to the qualified clinician, not a diagnosis or treatment plan. Only a qualified clinician can develop a complete diagnosis and treatment plan.

RMO HEREBY DISCLAIMS ANY AND ALL WARRANTIES AS TO THE ACCURACY OF ANY TRACING, GROWTH FORECAST, OR TREATMENT, AND MAKES NO OTHER WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, APPLICABLE TO THE PRODUCTS OR SERVICES COVERED BY THIS CONTRACT. RMO SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS ANY WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY OR OF FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE APPLICABLE TO THE PRODUCTS OR SERVICES COVERED BY THIS CONTRACT. THERE ARE NO WARRANTIES WHICH EXTEND BEYOND THE DESCRIPTION ON THE FACE HEREOF.

3. The Doctor/Buyer bears all responsibility for final diagnosis and the results of treatment.
4. Services are performed in compliance with applicable State and Federal laws; including the applicable provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, and of regulations and orders issued thereunder.
5. No liability shall result from delay in performance, or nonperformance, caused by circumstances beyond the control of the party affected; including, but not limited to, an act of God, fire, flood, war, government action, labor trouble, and the like.

RMO furnishes the materials and information to the Doctor/Buyer based upon representations made to RMO by the Doctor/Buyer that he/she is a “qualified clinician”, i.e. that he/she has the requisite knowledge and experience to utilize this aid properly in developing a complete diagnosis and treatment plan.